# Factors influencing clinician's coherence with local antimicrobial guidelines in the management of sepsis

Paul McNulty, Tamas Szakmany

Welsh Digital Data Collection Platform Collaborators Critical Care Directorate, Royal Gwent Hospital, Newport, Wales, United Kingdom

## Sir,

Sepsis is frequent, potentially fatal condition characterised by organ dysfunction as a result of a dysregulated host response to infection [1]. We estimated that the combined point-prevalence of sepsis is around 5.5% amongst hospital in-patients in Wales [2, 3]. It has been argued that rapid administration of an appropriately chosen antibiotic is the cornerstone of the effective treatment of sepsis [4].

Recently, a standardised sepsis screening tool and the Sepsis 6 treatment protocol has been rolled out across Wales [5]. However, the antibiotic prescribing element has been traditionally based on local guidance and antimicrobial resistance patterns [6]. Evidence suggests that incorrect antibiotic prescribing may lead to an increased emergence of antibiotic resistant organisms [7]. Therefore, it is crucial that local guidance is followed.

Our aim was to explore adherence to local guidelines and establish an understanding as to why, in clinical practice, prescribing patterns may differ.

We obtained data based on the antibiotic prescribing patterns across hospitals in Wales from the Defining Sepsis on the Wards Study which has been described previously in detail (ISCRTN: 86502304) [3]. Briefly, it was a point-prevalence study in every Welsh hospital over a 24-hour period on the 19/10/2016. Patients with National Early Warning Score of 3 or above with clinical suspicion of infection were recruited following informed consent. Various demographic, care process and outcome data were collected, including antibiotic prescribing and administration.

We contacted the critical care outreach or acute intervention teams in the hospitals where this service is provided, to identify barriers to successfully implement early and appropriate antibiotic therapy as part of the Sepsis 6 initiative. Data were analysed using *Microsoft Excel*.

Within the study period there were similar numbers of patients with sepsis in each hospital (Table 1). Antibiotic treatment within one hour was administered at a variable rate, from 27% to 64%. In 35% of all cases of sepsis, the cause was unknown and within this sub-group the percentage of antibiotics prescribed was slightly higher, varying from 20% to 90%.

In accordance to local guidelines, antibiotic prescribing for patients with sepsis of unknown origin was correct in 22% of cases (Table 2). Out of the patients who did receive antibiotics, the majority of them received either an incorrect antibiotic regime (59%) or a partially correct antibiotic regime (19%).

There was significant inter-hospital variability in the correct prescription of antimicrobials. In many cases, partially correct antibiotic regimes were administered, as only one of the two suggested antibiotics were prescribed (Table 2).

Four key barriers to effectively implementing the antibiotic therapy in the Sepsis 6 initiative were identified:

- Lack of education understanding when to trigger the pathway.
- 2. Complexity of guidelines.
- Lack of a leadership role giving IV antibiotics requires communication. between different healthcare professionals.
- Practical issues sourcing equipment or acute bed shortages.

Hospital	Number of patients with sepsis	% of patients with sepsis who received antibiotics	% of patients with sepsis of unknown origin	% of patients with sepsis of unknown origin who received any antibiotics
Morriston Hospital	37	27.0	35.1	30.8
Princess of Wales Hospital	39	53.9	35.9	78.6
Prince Charles Hospital	39	53.9	35.9	57.1
Royal Glamorgan Hospital	38	44.7	36.8	57.1
Royal Gwent Hospital	38	57.9	29.0	90.9
University Hospital of Wales	33	63.6	30.3	70.0
Wrexham Maelor Hospital	34	58.8	44.1	66.7
Nevil Hall Hospital	33	54.6	30.3	20.0
Average	36	51.8	34.7	58.9

 Table 1. Patterns of antibiotic prescribing in sepsis across hospitals with outreach services in Wales

Hospital	Patients with sepsis of unknown origin who did receive antibiotics				
	% of time correct antibiotic regime given	% of time partially correct antibiotic regime given	% of time incorrect antibiotic regime given		
Morriston Hospital	75	0	25		
Princess of Wales Hospital	27	0	73		
Prince Charles Hospital	0	50	50		
Royal Glamorgan Hospital	13	75	13		
Royal Gwent Hospital	0	0	100		
University Hospital of Wales	0	29	71		
Wrexham Maelor Hospital	60	0	40		
Nevil Hall Hospital	0	0	100		
Average	22	19	59		

Table 2. Percentage of times antibiotic	prescribing was correct, partially correct	or incorrect, based on local guidelines

NB — antibiotic prescribing was partially correct in cases where local guidelines recommended two different antibiotics and only one was prescribed

Despite ongoing awareness campaigns and generalised belief that sepsis care is improving, none of the hospitals had clinicians that prescribed antibiotics in every case.

Compliance was reduced when guidelines became more detailed and critical care outreach teams identified the complexity of administrating intravenous antibiotics as one of the barriers to successfully implementing the Sepsis 6 bundle. Others identified barriers included; inadequate education, lack of a leadership role within departments and practical issues such as bed space and access to equipment.

Evidence suggests that early antibiotic use may be associated with a better outcome in sepsis [8]. Whilst only half of our patients received antibiotics in the first hour, this is better than the 35–40% observed in a recent clusterrandomised trial [8]. Unfortunately, those who did receive antibiotics, prescribing was often inconsistent with local guidelines. Previously it was found that less than half of doctors use local guidelines when choosing an appropriate antibiotic but instead use the British National Formulary due to the perceived validity of a national guideline [9].

Compliance with local guidelines is influenced by key factors, including the doctor's knowledge, attitude and behaviour [10]. Poor guideline adherence could also result from inadequate dissemination of the recommended information [9]. We suggest that the knowledge of healthcare professionals is one of the most important aspects and it is therefore vital that they are trained in the recognition and early management of sepsis.

A multifaceted approach is needed to improve compliance with local guidelines. This may include the following: simplification of existing guidelines, their dissemination and reinforcement; the recruitment of local champions, frequent educational sessions for healthcare professionals and having a tangible goal or outcome that is regularly audited i.e. percentage of antibiotics correctly prescribed in cases of sepsis [8]. In conclusion, this study has highlighted the lack of coherence with local antimicrobial guidelines in hospitals across Wales. Our study's results suggest that the success of quality improvement in sepsis care depends on the existence of an embedded patient safety-centered local leadership and the capability for interdisciplinary cooperation.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

- Ethics approval and consent to participate: This multicentre, prospective, observational study of patients with suspected sepsis was approved by the South Wales Regional Ethics Committee (16/WA/0071) and patients or their proxy in case of patients lacking capacity gave written informed consent.
- 2. Consent for publication: Not applicable
- Availability of data and materials: The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.
- Competing interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.
- Funding: The authors would like to acknowledge the support by the Fiona Elizabeth Agnew Trust (grant number: FEATURES Award 2016) and the Welsh Intensive Care Society (grant number: Research grant 2015) for this study.
- 6. Author contributions: The Welsh Digital Data Collection Platform (WDDCP) Collaborators were entirely responsible for the study design, conduct and data analysis. PM and TSZ had full data access and were solely responsible for data interpretation, drafting and revision of the manuscript and the decision to submit for publication. The Fiona Elizabeth Agnew Trust and the Welsh Intensive Care Society had no data access and no role in study design, conduct, analysis, or drafting this report. TSZ conceived the study and designed it together with PM. Patient recruitment and data collection were per-

formed by the members of the WDDCP collaborators. PM and TSZ performed the data analysis. The manuscript was drafted by PM and revised following critical review by TSZ.

Membership of the Welsh Digital Data Collection Platform Collaborators is provided below.

Steering committee:

Tamas Szakmany (Chief Investigator)

Maja Kopczynska (National coordinator)

Robert Michael Lundin (National coordinator)

Ben Sharif (National coordinator)

Local coordinators:

Julimar Abreu, Svetlana Kulikouskaya, Kiran Bashir, Luke Galloway, Haamed Al-Hassan, Thomas Grother, Paul Mc-Nulty, Steffan Treharne Seal, Alice Cains, Margriet Vreugdenhil, Mustafa Abdimalik, Naomi Dennehey, Georgina Evans, Jasmine Whitaker, Elizabeth Beasant, Charles Hall, Maria Lazarou, Chloe Victoria Vanderpump, Kate Harding, Leo Duffy, Abigail Guerrier Sadler, Rachel Keeling, Charldre Banks, Stephanie Wai Yee Ng, Sieh Yen Heng, Daniah Thomas, Elen Wyn Puw, Igor Otahal, Ceri Battle, Orsolya Minik, Richard Pugh, Paul Morgan, Gemma Ellis

Ronan A Lyons (Secure Anonymous Information Linkage [SAIL] representative)

Judith E Hall

Terence Canning (Independent patient representative) Local data collectors:

Elin Walters, Carys Durie, Robert James Hamilton Sinnerton, Benjamin Tanner, Berenice Cunningham-Walker, Chloe Spooner, Akanksha Kiran, Nabeegh Nadeem, Vidhi Unadkat, Arwel Poacher, Sashiananthan Ganesananthan, John Ng Cho Hui, Esme Sparey, David ChunHei Li, Jessica Smith, India Corrin, Amit Kurani, Harry Waring, Adeel Khan, Claire Smith, Nicholas Doyle, Emily Baker, Abbie Shipley, Mohammad Yahya Amjad, Miriam Cynan, Nik-Syakirah Nik Azis, Imogen Hay, Catherine Russell, Joseph Davies, Rebecca Parsonson, Jude Joseph-Gubral, Ajitha Arunthavarajah, Jessica Nicholas, Aaron Harris, Jay Hale, Henry Atkinson, Jessica Webster, Tim Burnett, Josephine Raffan Gowar, Sam DeFriend, David Lawson, Charlotte Maden, Helena Jones, Hazel Preston, Nur Amirah Binti Maliki, Mark Zimmerman, Jessica Webber, Llewelyn Jones, Rebecca Phillips, Lauren McCarthy, Lara Wirt, Emily Hubbard, Emily Evans, Laura Jane Davis, Billie Atkins, Llywela Wyn Davies, Lee Sanders-Crook, Navrhinaa Vadivale, Camilla Lee, Amrit Dhadda, Sian Cleaver, Genna Logue, Joy Inns, Isabel Jones, Robyn Howcroft, Carys Gilbert, Matthew Bradley, Louise Pike, Adiya

Urazbayeva, Nur Haslina Ahmad Hanif, Yau Ke Ying, Alice Coleclough, Eilis Higgins, Lucy Morgan, Naomi Spencer, Tze Gee Ng, Sam Booth, Nilarnti Vignarajah, Tessa Chamberlain, Dongying Zhao, Nayanatara Nadeesha Tantirige, John Watts, Rebecca Walford, Amy Prideaux, Amelia Tee, Annabelle Hook, Adam Mounce, Emily Eccles, Ross Edwards, Kirtika Ramesh, Laura Bausor, Chania Lambrinudi, Angelica Sharma, Amy Handley, Alexandra Gordon, Lucy Allen, Rebecca Paddock, Harriet Penney, Lopa Banerjee, Lezia D'Souza, Kelly Thomas, Peter Havalda, Christopher Littler, Nathan West, Chris Subbe, Maria Hobrok, Richard Self, Vincent Hamlyn.

#### **References:**

- Singer M, Deutschman CS, Seymour CW, et al. Sepsis Definitions Task Force. Developing a new definition and assessing new clinical criteria for septic shock: for the Third International Consensus Definitions for Sepsis and Septic Shock (Sepsis-3). JAMA. 2016; 315(8): 775–787, doi: 10.1001/ jama.2016.0289, indexed in Pubmed: 26903336.
- Szakmany T, Lundin RM, Sharif B, et al. Welsh Digital Data Collection Platform Collaborators. Sepsis prevalence and outcome on the general wards and emergency departments in Wales: results of a multi-centre, observational, point prevalence study. PLoS One. 2016; 11(12): e0167230, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0167230, indexed in Pubmed: 27907062.
- Szakmany T, Pugh R, Kopczynska M, et al. Welsh Digital Data Collection Platform collaborators. Defining sepsis on the wards: results of a multi-centre point-prevalence study comparing two sepsis definitions. Anaesthesia. 2018; 73(2): 195–204, doi: 10.1111/anae.14062, indexed in Pubmed: 29150856.
- Rhodes A, Evans LE, Alhazzani W, et al. Surviving Sepsis Campaign: International Guidelines for Management of Sepsis and Septic Shock: 2016. Crit Care Med. 2017; 45(3): 486–552, doi: 10.1097/ CCM.00000000002255, indexed in Pubmed: 28098591.
- Hancock C. A national quality improvement initiative for reducing harm and death from sepsis in Wales. Intensive Crit Care Nurs. 2015; 31(2): 100–105, doi: 10.1016/j.iccn.2014.11.004, indexed in Pubmed: 25604031.
- Heginbothom M, Howe R, McArtney B, Parry-Jones J, Mark S, Szakmany T. The microbiology of severe sepsis. Public Health Wales. 2013. http:// www.wales.nhs.uk/sites3/page.cfm?orgld=457&pid=28906.
- Marston HD, Dixon DM, Knisely JM, et al. Antimicrobial Resistance. JAMA. 2016; 316(11): 1193–1204, doi: 10.1001/jama.2016.11764, indexed in Pubmed: 27654605.
- Bloos F, Rüddel H, Thomas-Rüddel D, et al. MEDUSA study group. Effect of a multifaceted educational intervention for anti-infectious measures on sepsis mortality: a cluster randomized trial. Intensive Care Med. 2017; 43(11): 1602–1612, doi: 10.1007/s00134-017-4782-4, indexed in Pubmed: 28466151.
- Ali MH, Kalima P, Maxwell SRJ. Failure to implement hospital antimicrobial prescribing guidelines: a comparison of two UK academic centres. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2006; 57(5): 959–962, doi: 10.1093/jac/dkl076, indexed in Pubmed: 16531431.
- Teixeira Rodrigues A, Roque F, Falcão A, et al. Understanding physician antibiotic prescribing behaviour: a systematic review of qualitative studies. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2013; 41(3): 203–212, doi: 10.1016/j. ijantimicag.2012.09.003, indexed in Pubmed: 23127482.

### Adres do korespondencji:

Tamas Szakmany Critical Care Directorate, Royal Gwent Hospital, Newport, Wales, United Kingdom e-mail: szakmanyt1@cardiff.ac.uk

**Należy cytować wersję:** McNulty P, Szakmany T. Factors influencing clinician's coherence with local antimicrobial guidelines in the management of sepsis. Anaesthesiol Intensive Ther. 2018, vol. 50, no 1, 82–84. doi: 10.5603/AIT.a2018.0002.